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ABSTRACT

Interdisciplinary treatment also has expanded to include not only soft tissue assessment of the periodontal components of the dentition and smile, but of the face as well. The next level of esthetic enhancement certainly will include facial proportionality as a key component in our patient evaluation. This paper expands the diagnostic vision of the dentist to include facial proportions and relationships of hard and soft tissues to improve diagnosis and treatment of dental and facial esthetics.

CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Diagnosis and treatment in orthodontics has shifted to assess tooth shape and form in the analysis of an orthodontic problem. There are principles of esthetic dentistry that orthodontists can use to enhance their finishes in order to provide a superior esthetic outcome. Because orthodontists have benefited from much technological advancement in diagnosis, wires, and brackets, often resulting in more efficient treatment times, there is more time for identifying microesthetic characteristics and enhancing the final outcomes to a degree previously not attainable.

INTRODUCTION

Patients today seeking esthetic treatment are looking for enhancement of their appearance for improved quality of life. We advocate the use of the term “appearance” in conjunction with the term “esthetics” because it involves a broader assessment of the patient other than the smile. So, in orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning we have created an approach in evaluation divided into three divisions (Figure 1):

1 Macroesthetics—this include the profile, vertical facial dimensions—in other words—the face
2 Miniesthetics—the smile attributes—buccal corridors, smile arc, incisor display, etc.
3 Microesthetics—the teeth and their many attributes such as contacts and connectors, embrasures, gingival shape and contour

In cosmetic dentistry, orthodontics, and orthognathic surgery, if the esthetic outcome is not satisfactory to the patient then they consider the case a failure. Orthodontists do not perform cosmetic dental procedures such as composite bonding, veneers, and crowns. However, we all recognize that in some instances when orthodontic treatment is finished, not all the smiles “look right.” Not all patients want or can afford veneers, and certainly not all of them need them. But there are principals of cosmetic dentistry that orthodontists can use to enhance their finishes in order...
to provide a superior esthetic outcome.\textsuperscript{1–3} Orthodontists have benefited from much technological advancement in diagnosis, wires, and brackets, often resulting in more efficient treatment times. This gives us time for identifying microesthetic characteristics and enhancing our outcomes to a degree we have never been able to do before.

The purpose of this paper is to briefly review some of the principals of ideal tooth shape and morphology and to demonstrate how to utilize tooth reshaping through enameloplasty to treat and finish orthodontic cases to much more esthetic conclusions.

Esthetic dentistry has for many years defined tooth shape and morphology in terms of ideal ratios of tooth dimensions, and definitions of shape and contour—what we refer to as microesthetics. The purpose of this paper is not to review these definitions and parameters, since these are well researched and established in esthetic dentistry.\textsuperscript{1,4–19} Figure 2 is a general summary illustration of these features to serve as a mental image at the beginning of this paper to serve as a reference of our definition used throughout this article.

\textbf{CASE 1}

The patient in Figure 3 presented with a chief complaint of protruding teeth. She had been treated as a child to a good occlusion and acceptable smile esthetics.
The vertical relationships of the anterior teeth (incisal edges and gingival margins) were disparate. The height/width ratios of the central incisors were not the same. The central incisors were disproportionately larger than the lateral incisors. An excessive gingival embrasure between the maxillary centrals resulting in an unesthetic black triangle.

The intraoral image (Figure 5) demonstrates well-aligned teeth and good overbite/overjet. To define the issues that need to be addressed, the anterior teeth were analyzed using a microesthetic assessment. Figure 6 illustrates the anterior teeth in detail with pertinent analysis:

1. Excessive gingival embrasure between the maxillary central incisors
2. Short connector length between central incisors (28%)
3. Differential incisal edge placement between the four incisors
4. Differing crown height/width ratios of the incisors

The right central was slightly shorter than the left, and the long axis of the maxillary left central incisor was slightly more distal than the right central. The height/width ratio revealed that the right central was 9.3 mm wide and 9.7 mm in height (height/width ratio of 96%), while the left central had a more desirable ratio of 81%. Evaluation of contacts, connectors, and embrasures demonstrated that the contact point is reasonable, while the connector length was short at 28%.

**ENAMELOPLASTY TO IMPROVE SMILE APPEARANCE**

It is important to note that we do not recommend reshaping unless the teeth are well aligned before the tooth reshaping begins. This is because if a tooth is...
rotated, our perception of its width is changed while the height is not, giving a misleading height/width ratio as illustrated in Figure 7.

**Step 1: Establish Height**

Before removing any enamel, we recommend that the soft tissue be addressed and finalized first. The right central incisor had an unfavorable height/width ratio. Because the left central incisor was the proper height/width ratio, it was logical that the right central needed to be lengthened, if possible. Since the height of the right central was shorter than normal, after periodontal probing we decided that the right central was a good candidate for a simple laser-assisted gingivectomy (Figure 8). The gingival depth was 3 mm, and with laser assistant gingivectomy, we felt we could gain a millimeter or more of height on that tooth.

**Step 2: Address the Width**

Once the gingival apparatus healed and reestablished its final vertical position; we were ready to reduce the width of the two central incisors. Using a fine carbide bur (Braessler E23 AA Carbide Needle, Brasseler USA, Savannah, GA, USA) we started the process by reshaping the connector between the central incisors, elongating it between the maxillary central incisors (Figure 9). The bur has a “safe tip” as it is rounded on the tip so that it avoids leaving an inadvertent ledge into the tooth. We perform the procedure applying the bur to the enamel in quick vertical motions to avoid any heat buildup. We also don’t use local anesthesia so the patient can signal any discomfort. Occasionally, a topical anesthetic is applied if we anticipate any contact between the instrumentation and the gingival tissues in order to reduce any discomfort.

**Step 3: Check the Length of the Connector**

Rather than getting a measuring device to measure the length of the connector, we simply squeezed the teeth together (Figure 10). This immediately reveals any
interferences and the contact length the preparation resulted in. Further incremental adjustments are made in this way.

**Step 4: Round the Line Angles**

Once we have worked our way through the facial and the palatal of the upper incisors with the carbide bur, the resulting line angles required finishing. We may opt to do this a number of ways, including discs and hand held strips. Our preference is to use a cone-shaped diamond (Braessler 8833 031 Diamond) and follow the connector with this bur to round the line angles (Figure 11) since this is much more efficient than hand strips. Some hand finishing is desirable, however, for a finer interproximal polish.

**Step 5: Close the Space Created by the Interproximal Enameloplasty**

The space created between the teeth is then closed with elastomeric chain on the orthodontic appliances (Figure 12).

**Step 6: Create and Refine Embrasures**

Once the space has been closed between all the teeth which have been reshaped, the embrasures are ready to finish. The embrasures are formed with the same cone-shaped diamond (8833 031 Diamond, Braessler) used to refine the line angles and embrasures (Figure 13).

**Step 7: Polish to Finish**

We use the 848L10 Carbide Long Flame (Braessler) followed with a rubber polishing tip to refine the enamel surface to finish.

The final results depicted in Figures 14–18. The patient’s smile presentation was enhanced remarkably by the attention to the finishing details utilizing tooth reshaping to attain the microesthetic characteristics of esthetic teeth.
FIGURE 13. Once the space was closed, the embrasures were finished with the same cone-shaped diamond used to refine the embrasures and line angles on the facial and palatal aspects.

FIGURE 14. The final overjet/overjet was now ideal.

FIGURE 15. The desired contact placement, embrasures, and connector length were successfully attained. There was a slight height differential between the central not considered significant.

FIGURE 16. The final smile.

FIGURE 17. The final close-up smile.

FIGURE 18. Three years after treatment, the patient displayed a real pride in her smile and appearance.
CONCLUSION

This case demonstrates the significant improvement to a smile orthodontists can achieve if they understand the principles of dental esthetics. The actual reshaping of the teeth may be a procedure the orthodontist may be uncomfortable with, since they leave their handpieces with cutting burs behind when they leave dental school. If that is true, then at least recognition of the issues and potential solutions is important. The interdisciplinary collaboration may involve the dentist providing the enamelplasty in the appropriate order of treatment. I personally am comfortable with the reshaping and feel quite comfortable making incremental adjustments as treatment progresses.
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